

Delaware Department of Transportation

**QUESTIONS AND ANSWERS**

**T201306301.01**

**PAVEMENT & REHABILITATION, SUSSEX I, (BRIDGEVILLE) 2013, SUSSEX COUNTY**

**Friday, March 27, 2015**

<b>Q #</b>	<b>Question</b>	<b>Answer</b>
7	The plans on sheet 28 note 8 states the RR owner is Maryland Delaware. The Bid Proposal Book (page 67) states the owner to be Norfolk Southern. Who is the owner of the RR tracks for which we need to get insurance?	The railroad is owned by Norfolk Southern. The Maryland Delaware railway is a shortline partner with Norfolk Southern.

**Thursday, March 26, 2015**

**NOTE: RESPONSE TO THE FOLLOWING QUESTION HAS BEEN MODIFIED: QUESTION 2.**

**Monday, March 16, 2015**

6	In response for question and answer #2 from 3/11/15. If the requirement for engineered design emulsion mix is to be removed VIA addendum 1, we will still have to meet the emulsion requirements in section 401636? If so it is very likely a modified emulsion will be required regardless to satisfy the raveling requirement and the 63% residue requirement which CAN NOT be met by standard css1-h.. Please clarify.	Yes.  The emulsion mix must meet the required specifications as stated for item 401636 – Cold Recycled Bituminous Pavement.
5	For item 0090, Cold Recycled Bituminous Pavement, is any removal required during recycling? If no removal is required, the +/- 1/2" CIR "fluff factor" will cause the mainline to be slightly higher than shoulders, is this acceptable or must we remove these material overloads during construction?	Yes. The material overload must be removed to re-establish the original grade.

**Friday, March 13, 2015**

4	Page 28 note 6 states hot mix patch full depth. Does that mean to use the detail on page 11 or the detail on page15?	Use patch detail on page 15. Additional language to clarify note for patch detail will be added under addendum 1.
---	--	---

Q #	Question	Answer
3	Can DelDOT confirm that the limits of Location 1, Sussex Hwy Southbound, includes the section between Rt. 404 and Cannon Rd since this section was recently paved?	There is an overlap of two location limits and associated quantities. This will be corrected via addendum 1.
2	Note 11. D. on location 2; and Note 8. C. on location 4 both reference the requirements for an “Engineered Design Emulsion Mix” and reference specification 304508 for emulsion specs. . Neither specification 304508 nor 401713 references an “engineered design emulsion” . Can The Department differentiate specifically what they are looking for in an “engineered design emulsion” above and beyond a standard CSS-1h?	The project location notes for loc. 2 and 4 referencing the “Engineered Design Emulsion Mix” will be <del>deleted</del> <u>modified</u> under addendum 1. (updated 03/26/15)

**Monday, March 02, 2015**

1	Location #1 Sussex Highway has existing rumble strips along the south bound roadway. Does the contractor have to address these prior to the overlay?	There is an overlap of two project limits at this location. Once the limits are clarified, the rumble strips will be outside of the project limits and will not need to be addressed under this project.
---	--	--